

Research Article

Awareness About Refractive Error Among Students in Primary Schools

Rafid Hameed Leki^{1*}, Hind Essa Khairan², Meqdam Dawood Salman²

¹Ibn Sina Hospital, Baghdad Green Zone, Baghdad, Iraq

²Department of Ophthalmology, Al-Zahraa Teaching Hospital, Al-Kut Health Directorate, Ministry of health, Al-Kut, Wasit, Iraq

*Correspondence author: Rafid Hameed Leki, Ibn Sina Hospital, Baghdad Green Zone, Baghdad, Iraq; Email: ahmedsalihdr2008@yahoo.com

Citation: Leki RH, et al. Awareness About Refractive Error Among Students in Primary Schools. J Ophthalmol Adv Res. 2024;5(2):1-5.

<https://doi.org/10.46889/JOAR.2024.5207>

Received Date: 19-07-2024

Accepted Date: 06-08-2024

Published Date: 14-08-2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CCBY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract

Introduction: The uncorrected Refractive Errors (REs) cause visual impairment in more than 300 million subjects worldwide. Correction of uncorrected REs is a priority of Vision 2020-The Right to Sight. The study aimed to determine the awareness about RE among students in primary schools.

Methods: The descriptive prospective study was conducted on younger children groups aged 6-12 years, through a period of 12 months from March 2019 to March 2022. In total, 348 enrolled students were attending the ophthalmologic health private clinic. A structured questions form was designed to get information associated to the awareness of the families on REs. The form of questionnaire printed and distributed and explained to individuals. Participants agreed to answered all questions. The data collected included demographic information (sex, age, income of family, RE types and classes level). The rest portions were awareness of ocular symptoms, RE types, correction by spectacles, knowledge of aggravation, importance of wearing spectacles, awareness of contact lens usage and awareness about RE surgeries.

Results: In study, 384 individuals enrolling, 211(54.9%) males and 173(45.1%) females. Group 6-9 years of students were 48.2% were while 51.8% belonging to age group of (10-12) years. In relation to family income, upper level in 20.1%, middle in 32.5% and lower in 47.4%. The class divided into (1-3) in 52.3% and (4-6) in 47.7%. According to ophthalmologists, the students classified into myopic in 42.95 and hypermetropic in 46.4%, besides 10.75 had astigmatism. The answer (yes) to awareness of ocular symptoms of RE was 80.9%, awareness RE types was 66.9%,

correction by spectacles was 95.1%, knowledge of aggravation of RE was 67.9%, importance of wearing spectacles was 48.9%, awareness of contact lens usage was 53.6% and awareness about RE surgery was 41.4%. There is a significantly associated with participants' awareness of alternatives to eyeglasses like contact lens ($P = 0.01$) and with willingness to use contact lens and underlying refractive eye surgery ($P = 0.05$).

Conclusion: There are high to awareness of ocular symptoms of RE and correction by spectacles, moderate to awareness RE types and knowledge of aggravation of RE and low to importance of wearing spectacles, awareness of contact lens usage and awareness about RE surgery.

Keywords: Spectacle; Eyeglasses; Refractive Error; Myopia; Hypermetropia

Introduction

There are several reasons to develop the Visual Impairment (VI), however, the Refractive Error (RE) is the commonest cause while the blindness is the second cause. RE has been distinguished as a health problem publically by the WHO in its global initiative VISION-2020 (the right to sight) [1]. The WHO revealed about more than 40 million blind people, including 2 million child below 15-years-old and 125 million with impaired vision [2].

In younger age groups, there is more prevalence of myopia, more likely 40 times upon than the lower magnitudes for causing the sight threatening visual consequence [3,4].

Globally, uncorrected REs are the major reason for VI and blindness. RE conditions are astigmatism, phoria and accommodative dysfunctions lead to reductions in the visual performance in pediatrics and cause ocular symptoms as headaches, eyestrain, intermittent double vision and blurred vision. RE with high prevalence and high costs connected to its correction by (spectacles, contact lenses or surgery) posing a significant public health and economic concerns [5-7].

The global cost to correct VI from uncorrected RE estimated to be more than 3000 million \$ [8]. As well as, the potential productivity loss resulting from the global burden of uncorrected RE has been estimated to be more than 150 billion \$ [9]. RE does not impose a heavy financial burden on the societies but if stay uncorrected may causing a loss of educational and employment opportunities, lower productivities and impaired QoL [10,11].

However, the prevalence and severity of RE are important parameters to evaluate the societal impacts of it. In rural region, stigmatization related to eyeglasses prevent potential beneficiaries from utilizing them if they are given free of charge. Awareness of RE and its symptoms along with different management modalities playing an important roles in the blindness prevention [12]. Therefore, we conducted this study for a better understanding about the awareness level and general population attitude forward RE correction.

Methods

Design and Setting

The descriptive prospective study was conducted on younger children groups aged 6-12 years, through a period of 12 months from March 2019 to March 2022. In total, 348 enrolled students were attending the ophthalmologic health private clinic. A structured questions form was designed to get information associated to the awareness of the families on REs.

Ethics

From all the parents, verbal and written consent was obtained. Ethical approval was taken from Ibn Sina Hospital.

Data Collection

The questionnaire written according to Aldebasi study guide [13]. The form of questionnaire printed and distributed and explained to individuals. Participants agreed to answered all questions. The data collected included demographic information (sex, age, income of family, RE types and classes level). The rest portions were awareness of ocular symptoms, RE types, correction by spectacles, knowledge of aggravation, importance of wearing spectacles, awareness of contact lens usage and awareness about RE surgeries.

Data Analysis

Statistics was done by SPSS ver.26 (IBM, Chicago, US). The Chi- square test was applied to identify differences between the studied variables. The frequencies and percent described qualitative variables whereas mean and SD used for quantitative variables. The statistical significance was set at a P-value <0.05.

Results

In study, 384 individuals enrolling, 211 (54.9%) males and 173 (45.1%) females. Group 6-9 years of students were 48.2% were while 51.8% belonging to age group of (10-12) years. In relation to family income, upper level in 20.1%, middle in 32.5% and lower in 47.4%. The class divided into (1-3) in 52.3% and (4-6) in 47.7%. According to ophthalmologists, the students classified into myopic in 42.95 and hypermetropic in 46.4%, besides 10.75 had astigmatism (Table 1).

According to responses to the questionnaire in Table 2. The answer (yes) to awareness of ocular symptoms of RE was 80.9%, awareness RE types was 66.9%, correction by spectacles was 95.1%, knowledge of aggravation (reading, watching TV, playing video games, mobile phone and high bad illumination) of RE was 67.9%, importance of wearing spectacles was 48.9%, awareness of contact lens usage was 53.6% and awareness about RE surgery was 41.4%.

There is a significantly associated with participants' awareness of alternatives to eyeglasses like contact lens ($P = 0.01$) and with willingness to use contact lens and underlying refractive eye surgery ($P = 0.05$) (Table 3).

Variable		No.	%
Age (years)	6-9	185	48.2
	10-12	199	51.8
Gender	Male	211	54.9
	Female	173	45.1
Income	Upper	77	20.1
	Middle	125	32.5
	Lower	182	47.4
Class	1-3	201	52.3
	4-6	183	47.7
RE	Myopia	165	42.9
	Hypermetropia	178	46.4
	Astigmatism	41	10.7

Table 1: Variables studied in this work.

Questionnaires	No.	%
Awareness of ocular symptoms (RE)	311	80.9
Awareness RE types	257	66.9
Correction by spectacles	365	95.1
Knowledge of aggravation of RE	261	67.9
Importance of wearing spectacles	188	48.9
Awareness of contact lens usage	206	53.6
Awareness about RE surgery	159	41.4

Table 2: Questionnaires answer (yes) in this study.

		No.	%	P-value
Awareness of alternatives to corrective eye-glasses	Contact lens	212	55.2	0.01
	Refractive eye surgery	172	44.8	
Willingness to use alternatives to corrective eye-glasses	Contact lens	189	49.2	0.05
	Refractive eye surgery	195	50.8	

Table 3: Comparison between contact lens and refractive eye surgery.

Discussion

The living standard is raising, men are becoming more awareness of the health concerns in their day-to-day-living stay for many causes patients would suffering in silence with uncorrected REs fearing 'social-stigma' of wearing glasses or me-think in 'unfounded beliefs' that eye-glasses destroy eyes like recorded crossing societies [14,15].

Garg and Malik study, reported 21.6% of spectacle users knew the importance of wearing correction glasses and 2.2% were willing to use contact lens whereas 1.3% were willing to went for surgeries and for improvement their eyesight [12].

The poorly respondents attitude to possible up taken of both refractive eye surgeries and contact lenses as alternative to corrective refractive glasses were boring out of the fact that many cases had very little information and the fearing of its expenses and complications associated with these interventions [16].

Higher educated peoples are more aware of the visual disorders and its management modalities, however, this remained confined to 21.9% [12]. In this work, the education levels playing an important roles in the association with the degrees of the

awareness of uncorrected REs and willingness to utilize various management options. A report by WHO stated that simple sight tests and eye-glasses or contact-lenses could making a dramatic differences to lives of more than 200 million subject worldwide [16].

The levels of knowledge about these issues and the correction options are low in our locality. The visual prognosis of communicating by 1^o health care doctors would helping to elevate knowledge and compliance among peoples because unrequired to say, health promotion and communication are keys public health strategies [17-20].

These data emphasized the crucial roles of ophthalmologists in bringing the general ocular health data to the public attention [12]. Furthermore, the primary care doctors and health care workers able to help in spread awarenesses about the required for proper corrections of REs and screening of eyes ailment in the communities including the primary schools [16-20]. Even screening of vision by trained teachers are an effective ways for early detection of RE [21].

According to responses to the questionnaire, the answer (yes) is high to awareness of ocular symptoms of RE (80.9%) and correction by spectacles (95.1%), moderate to awareness RE types (66.9%) and knowledge of aggravation of RE (67.9%) and low to importance of wearing spectacles (48.9%), awareness of contact lens usage (53.6%) and awareness about RE surgery (41.4%).

The effective health education in eyes caring may affect the behavior towards considering regularly ocular caring. Getting doctors informed about available services could enhancing positive attitude to these services [22].

Strategies like screening of vision and eyes health promotion programs required to be implemented, the refractive services quality should be raising and monitored and the cost of spectacles must be regulated, these will cause in the RE incidence.

Conclusion

There are high to awareness of ocular symptoms of RE and correction by spectacles, moderate to awareness RE types and knowledge of aggravation of RE and low to importance of wearing spectacles, awareness of contact lens usage and awareness about RE surgery. For reducing the visual problems impactions related to RE symptoms (amblyopia, vision blurring, eye strain and red eyes), several certain suggestions must be taken into consideration in nationality as spreading data via social medias and publicity, education, screenings for RE in primary schools, work place and government.

Conflict of Interests

Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Informed Consent

It was obtained from all parents.

Disclosure

Authors declared that this study received no funding support.

References

1. Yan X, Yao B, Chen X, Bo S, Qin X, Yan H. Health insurance enrollment and vision health in rural China: an epidemiological survey. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2021;21(1):761.
2. Navarro PA, Contreras-Lopez WO, Tello A, Cardenas PL, Vargas MD, Martinez LC, et al. Effectiveness and safety of non-invasive neuromodulation for vision restoration: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neuroophthalmology*. 2023;48(2):93-110.
3. Verhoeven VJ, Wong KT, Buitendijk GH, Hofman A, Vingerling JR, Klaver CC. Visual consequences of refractive errors in the general population. *Ophthalmol*. 2015;122(1):101-9.
4. Flitcroft DI. The complex interactions of retinal, optical and environmental factors in myopia aetiology. *Prog Retin Eye Res*. 2012;31(6):622-60.
5. Ma Y, Wen Y, Zhong H, Lin S, Liang L, Yang Y, et al. Healthcare utilization and economic burden of myopia in urban China:

- A nationwide cost-of-illness study. *J Glob Health*. 2022;12:11003.
6. Nuzzi A, Becco A, Boschioli A, Coletto A, Nuzzi R. Blindness and visual impairment: quality of life and accessibility in the city of Turin. *Front Med (Lausanne)*. 2024;11:1361631.
 7. Marques AP, Ramke J, Cairns J, Butt T, Zhang JH, Jones I, et al. The economics of vision impairment and its leading causes: A systematic review. *EClinicalMedicine*. 2022;46:101354.
 8. Muma S, Naidoo KS, Hansraj R. Estimation of the lost productivity to the GDP and the national cost of correcting visual impairment from refractive error in Kenya. *PLoS One*. 2024;19(3):e0300799.
 9. Salama B, Parrey MUR, Abdul-Latif MM, Alanazi HM, Alanazi SR. The prevalence of severe visual impairment and blindness among primary school children in Arar, Saudi Arabia. *Cureus*. 2023;15(10):e47833.
 10. McCormick I, Kim MJ, Hydera A, Olaniyan SI, Jobe M, Badjie O, et al. Socioeconomic position and eye health outcomes: identifying inequality in rapid population-based surveys. *BMJ Open*. 2023;13(3):e069325.
 11. Engels T, Trotignon G, Agyemang D, Khan I, Puthy K, Roolvink L, et al. Cost and budget impact analysis of a school-based vision screening programme in Cambodia and Ghana: Implications for policy and programme scale-up. *Health Policy Open*. 2021;2:100043.
 12. Garg P, Malik M. Awareness of presence of refractive error among rural north Indian population. *J Ophthalmol Res*. 2020;3(2):16-26.
 13. Aldebasi Y. Young public's awareness to refractive error deficiency. *Int J Health Sci (Qassim)*. 2011;5(1):9-15.
 14. Congdon N, Zheng M, Sharma A, Choi K, Song Y, Zhang M, et al. Prevalence and determinants of spectacle nonwear among rural chinese secondary schoolchildren: the xichang pediatric refractive error study report 3. *Arch Ophthalmol*. 2008;126(12):1717-23.
 15. Pirindhavellie GP, Yong AC, Mashige KP, Naidoo KS, Chan VF. The impact of spectacle correction on the well-being of children with vision impairment due to uncorrected refractive error: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health*. 2023;23(1):1575.
 16. Evans JR, Morjaria P, Powell C. Vision screening for correctable visual acuity deficits in school-age children and adolescents. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2018;2(2):CD005023.
 17. Alamri A, Alshahrani ASN, Alshabab SQA, Alshehri SM, Alasiri RYS, Alshehri SZA, et al. A systematic literature review for evaluation of knowledge, attitude, and self-care practice regarding common eye diseases in the healthy general population. *J Family Med Prim Care*. 2024;13(2):417-24.
 18. Brophy M, Sinclair SA, Hostetler SG, Xiang H. Pediatric eye injury-related hospitalizations in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 2006;117(6):e1263-71.
 19. Alshammari F, Shaikh S, Hussain A, Alafnan A, Almuzaini I, Alshammari B. Public awareness of common eye diseases and the role of pharmacists in raising this awareness in Saudi Arabia: a cross-sectional study. *Healthcare (Basel)*. 2021;9(6):692.
 20. Supiyaphun C, Jongkhajornpong P. Contact lens use patterns, behavior and knowledge among university students in Thailand. *Clin Ophthalmol*. 2021;15:1249-58.
 21. Latorre-Arteaga S, Gil-González D, Enciso O, Phelan A, García-Muñoz A, Kohler J. Reducing visual deficits caused by refractive errors in school and preschool children: results of a pilot school program in the Andean region of Apurimac, Peru. *Glob Health Action*. 2014;7:22656.
 22. Olawoye O, Ashaye A, Bekibele C, Ajuwon AJ. A comparative evaluation of patients satisfaction with cataract surgical services in a public tertiary and a private secondary eye care facilities in Nigeria. *Ann Afr Med*. 2012;11(3):157-62.

Publish your work in this journal

Journal of Ophthalmology and Advance Research is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries. All aspects of eye care health maintenance, preventative measures and disease treatment interventions are addressed within the journal. Ophthalmologists and other researchers are invited to submit their work in the journal. The manuscript submission system is online and journal follows a fair peer-review practices.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://athenaeumpub.com/submit-manuscript/>