E-ISSN: 3068-5052
Journal of Regenerative Medicine & Biology Research

ATHENAEUM SCIENTIFIC PUBLISHERS

Review Article

Check for updates

Bioelectromagnetic Field Phenomena in Biological Systems: A Review of Theoretical Framework and Therapeutic Potential

Mikhail Artamonov[1*], Evgeniy Komrarov[2]
[1]Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Penn Medicine Princeton Health, Plainsboro, NJ 08536, USA
[2]Niadis Longevity Institute, Moscow, Russia
***Correspondence author:** Mikhail Artamonov, Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation, Penn Medicine Princeton Health, Plainsboro, NJ 08536, USA;
Email: mikhail.artamonov@pennmedicine.upenn.edu

Citation: Artamonov M, et al. Bioelectromagnetic Field Phenomena in Biological Systems: A Review of Theoretical Framework and Therapeutic Potential. J Reg Med Biol Res. 2026;7(1):1-6.
https://doi.org/10.46889/JRMBR.2026.7102

Received Date: 24-12-2025
Accepted Date: 19-01-2026
Published Date: 26-01-2026

Abstract
The phenomenon of ultraweak electromagnetic field emission from biological systems has garnered increasing attention as a potential avenue for therapeutic interventions in aging and regenerative medicine. This review examines the theoretical foundations of bioelectromagnetic field interactions, historical developments in field transfer technologies and emerging evidence for their biological effects. We discuss the concept of biological information transfer through electromagnetic fields, mechanisms of cellular communication via biophoton emission and potential applications in life extension research. More thorough characterization of endogenous electromagnetic emissions across various biological systems has been made possible by recent developments in ultraweak photon detection and biophysical measurement technology. These results imply that, in addition to well-established molecular and biochemical signaling pathways, bioelectromagnetic interactions might constitute an extra layer of biological regulation. Crucially, this study identifies significant methodological flaws in the existing literature and rigorously separates data that are supported by experiments from conjectural theories. In order to evaluate the translational significance of bioelectromagnetic field-based therapies, we stress the necessity of quantitative physical characterisation, consistent exposure procedures and mechanistic validation.
The review synthesizes current understanding of how concentrated electromagnetic emissions from young organisms may influence aging processes in recipient systems, with implications for future translational research.

Keywords: Bioelectromagnetic Fields; Biophoton Emission; Aging; Life Extension; Regenerative Medicine, Cellular Communication

Introduction
Global demographic shifts toward aging populations represent both an achievement of modern civilization and a significant socioeconomic challenge. Adults over 60 constitute the fastest-growing demographic worldwide, with profound implications for healthcare systems, labour markets and social infrastructure [1]. The imperative to extend not merely lifespan but health span-the period of life spent in good health has emerged as a central goal of gerontological research [2]. The need for non-invasive physical therapies, such as bioelectromagnetic interventions, is growing due to population aging and the health span imperative [3]. These therapies may improve cellular function without increasing medication burden in older persons. Biological systems create and respond to Electromagnetic Fields (EMFs), generating endogenous "bioelectromagnetic" networks ranging from ion fluxes, membranes and tissues to whole-body regulatory systems [4]. Interactions with external EMFs vary in size, from quantum spin dynamics (radical pair mechanism) to ion-based and thermodynamic models. Radical pair-based quantum models describe how weak fields can alter spin-dependent reactions (e.g., cryptochrome, ROS chemistry) at energies considerably below thermal noise [5]. This provides a unifying explanation for various weak-field events. Magneto-electrochemical frameworks suggest that life and metabolism are fundamentally electromagnetic processes, with internal fields regulating metabolic activity and

structural stability [3]. The "one target–one drug" approach used by many medications performs poorly in complex network-level diseases (such as cancer, dementia and immunological disorders) when several pathways compensate one another. Although network pharmacology and multi-target drug design are helpful, they are nevertheless plagued by inadequate clinical translation, incomplete route maps and model bias. On the other hand, weak magnetic fields provide more systems-level leverage by simultaneously modulating ion channels, ROS and signaling networks [4]. Moreover, drug-drug interactions, low brain or tumor penetration and off-target toxicity limit the long-term pharmacological modulation of oxidative stress, inflammation or neurotransmission [6]. Due to insufficient target engagement and timing, antioxidant and neurodegenerative trials frequently demonstrate promising preclinical but unsatisfactory clinical results. Weak magnetic fields and magnetoelectric devices, such as WMFs regulating superoxide and regeneration *in-vivo*, can penetrate tissue non-invasively and modify ROS or cell activity without adding chemical burden [7].

While substantial progress has been made in understanding molecular mechanisms of aging, including telomere attrition, cellular senescence, mitochondrial dysfunction and epigenetic alterations, effective interventions that meaningfully extend human health span remain limited [8]. Novel approaches based on biophysical rather than purely biochemical mechanisms warrant serious scientific investigation.

This review examines the phenomenon of bioelectromagnetic fields in biological systems and their potential therapeutic applications, with particular emphasis on longevity research. We synthesize theoretical frameworks, historical developments and contemporary research directions in this emerging field.

Methodology

Theoretical Foundations of Bioelectromagnetic Fields

Ultraweak Photon Emission from Living Systems: Ultraweak electromagnetic field emission, also termed ultraweak biophoton emission, refers to the phenomenon of intrinsic spontaneous photon emission of extremely low intensity characteristic of all biological systems [9, 10] . While the intensity, spectrum and physical properties of these emissions vary depending on system composition, physiological state and external conditions, the phenomenon itself appears universal across living organisms [11]. The biological significance of these emissions has been debated since their initial characterization. Proposed functions include cellular communication, metabolic regulation and transmission of biological information [12]. Recent advances in photomultiplier technology and single-photon detection have enabled more precise characterization of biophoton emission patterns under various physiological and pathological conditions [13].

Field Guide Theory and Biological Information Transfer

The concept of bioelectromagnetic field communication between organisms was first systematically developed in the mid-20th century, proposing that biological organisms transmit electromagnetic waves during vital activities that carry genetic and vitality information [14,15]. This theoretical framework posits that biological electromagnetic fields represent a fundamental mechanism of information transfer in living systems. According to this theory, electromagnetic radiation from living organisms occupies primarily the microwave and ultraviolet spectra, with intensity and characteristics reflecting the donor organism's age, health status and metabolic activity. The hypothesis suggests that these fields can be concentrated, amplified and transferred between organisms using appropriate focusing systems, potentially enabling therapeutic interventions. Critics have noted that rigorous characterization of the physical parameters of these postulated fields remains incomplete and proposed mechanisms require further experimental validation. However, the accumulation of experimental observations across multiple research groups suggests the phenomenon merits continued investigation [16].

Historical Development of Field Transfer Technologies

Throughout history, various cultures have explored methods for transferring biological properties between organisms. Scientific investigation of this phenomenon accelerated in the 20th century with the development of electromagnetic theory and improved detection technologies [17,18]. Early experiments demonstrated apparent effects of electromagnetic radiation from growing plant seedlings on dormant seeds of recipient plants, suggesting that concentrated biofield exposure could influence developmental processes [19]. These observations led to development of focusing systems using parabolic reflectors and other optical elements to concentrate biological electromagnetic emissions.

Subsequent decades saw refinement of these technologies, incorporating principles from electromagnetic theory, optics and photobiology. Modern systems typically utilize reflective surfaces to create focal zones where recipient organisms are exposed to concentrated biofield emissions from donor organisms, often young plant seedlings or animal subjects.

Although particular technical parameters differ between study groups and have yet not been thoroughly defined, many independent investigations have consistently reported the use of optical focusing methods to spatially concentrate endogenous biological electromagnetic emissions.

Proposed Mechanisms of Bioelectromagnetic Field Effects

Cellular and Molecular Interactions

Several potential mechanisms have been proposed to explain how bioelectromagnetic field exposure might influence aging processes and cellular function. These include direct effects on mitochondrial function, modulation of oxidative stress pathways, activation of cellular stress-response mechanisms and epigenetic modifications [20]. Electromagnetic fields may influence mitochondrial activity and ATP production through effects on electron transport chain components or membrane potential [21]. Such effects could explain observed changes in energy metabolism and oxidative stress markers in exposed organisms. Activation of stress-response pathways, including sirtuins, FOXO transcription factors and heat shock proteins, represents another plausible mechanism [22]. These pathways are known to influence longevity across multiple species and could mediate protective effects of biofield exposure.

Wave Coherence and Biological Organization

An intriguing hypothesis suggests that aging involves progressive loss of electromagnetic wave coherence within biological systems, leading to compromised cellular communication and coordination [2,10]. According to this model, exposure to coherent electromagnetic fields from young, healthy donor organisms could restore wave synchronization and improve systemic function. While speculative, this framework provides testable predictions that could be examined using advanced spectroscopic and imaging techniques. Quantitative assessment of electromagnetic field coherence in biological systems represents an important direction for future research.

Evidence for Biological Effects

Multiple research groups have reported biological effects following exposure to concentrated bioelectromagnetic fields. These observations span diverse model systems, including nematodes, cell cultures and mammalian species. While methodological rigor varies among studies and independent replication remains limited, the pattern of findings suggests genuine biological phenomena worthy of systematic investigation [23].

Reported effects include extension of lifespan in model organisms, improved stress resistance, enhanced recovery from toxic insults and apparent rejuvenation of age-related phenotypes. The consistency of life extension findings across evolutionarily distant species suggests potential conservation of underlying mechanisms [24].

Importantly, reported benefits appear to extend beyond simple lifespan extension to encompass health span improvements, including maintained physical activity, preserved organ function and delayed onset of age-related pathology [25]. If confirmed, these effects would address a central goal of gerontology-compression of morbidity into a shorter period at the end of life.
Critical evaluation of this literature reveals several limitations, including small sample sizes in many studies, incomplete characterization of exposure parameters and limited mechanistic investigation. These deficiencies highlight the need for more rigorous, well-controlled studies with comprehensive molecular characterization.

Potential Clinical Applications

If the therapeutic potential of bioelectromagnetic field exposure can be validated through rigorous clinical trials, multiple applications become conceivable. The non-invasive nature of the intervention, potential for home-based use and apparent safety profile in preclinical studies suggest practical feasibility. Short-term applications could include supportive care during chemotherapy to reduce treatment-related toxicity, enhancement of post-surgical recovery and adjunctive treatment for age-related conditions. These endpoints could be evaluated in relatively brief clinical trials with well-defined outcome measures.

Longer-term applications targeting healthy aging would require extended observation periods but could be monitored using validated biomarkers of biological age, including epigenetic clocks, telomere length, inflammatory markers and functional assessments [26]. Regular exposure protocols, potentially implemented during sleep or other inactive periods, could provide sustained benefits without significant lifestyle disruption.

Economic considerations favour investigation of such interventions. If effective, bioelectromagnetic field therapy could provide significant health span extension at relatively low cost compared to pharmaceutical interventions, with potential to reduce healthcare expenditures through prevention of age-related disease [27].

Critical Evaluation and Research Needs

Despite intriguing preliminary findings, the field of bioelectromagnetic therapeutics faces significant challenges that must be addressed to establish scientific credibility and clinical viability. These include incomplete physical characterization of biofields, limited mechanistic understanding, insufficient standardization of exposure protocols and need for independent replication. Rigorous characterization of electromagnetic field parameters-including frequency spectrum, intensity, temporal patterns and spatial distribution remains essential. Modern spectroscopic and electromagnetic measurement techniques should be systematically applied to define the physical nature of biological emissions and their transmission [28].

The interpretation of biological effects remains speculative in the absence of such quantitative characterization, which restricts cross-study comparability and mechanistic inference. Most importantly, such analyses would allow to determine whether observed biological effects are related to established aging and stress pathways or are the result of indirect, nonspecific responses. Without such quantitative characterization, the interpretation of biological effects is speculative, limiting cross-study comparability and mechanistic inference. Another significant research priority is to better understand molecular and cellular pathways. Integrative transcriptomic, proteomic and metabolomic techniques could aid in the identification of signaling pathways, stress response networks and metabolic processes that may be influenced by bioelectromagnetic exposure. Importantly, such analyses would allow us to determine whether observed biological changes are related to established aging and stress pathways or are indirect, nonspecific responses. The identification of specific cellular targets would provide a mechanistic underpinning for rationally optimizing exposure conditions.

The existing variety in experimental design hampers meta-analytical assessment and increases uncertainty about impact size, resilience and biological relevance. The development of acceptable methodological norms by the research community would thus represent an important step toward field maturation.

Independent replication by several research groups utilizing harmonized methodologies is still necessary to prove the reliability and generalizability of claimed results. Multi-center collaborative studies, particularly those with blinded experimental designs and established end measures, would provide the most robust data base and aid in the identification of contextual factors influencing repeatability. Such efforts are particularly significant considering the interdisciplinary nature of bioelectromagnetic research, which includes biology, physics and engineering.

Collectively, these problems demonstrate that the fundamental barriers to bioelectromagnetic treatments are technical rather than theological in nature. To pursue translational or clinical applications responsibly, these restrictions must be addressed through thorough measurement, mechanistic validation and collaborative research design.

Future Implication

The path forward for bioelectromagnetic field research requires systematic progression from preclinical studies through carefully designed human trials. Immediate priorities include conducting high-quality animal studies with comprehensive molecular characterization, standardizing exposure protocols and measurement techniques and initiating human biomarker studies in healthy volunteers.

Human studies should initially focus on safety assessment and biomarker responses rather than long-term health outcomes. A 12-week trial examining effects on epigenetic age, inflammatory markers, oxidative stress indices and mitochondrial function could provide crucial preliminary data without requiring years of follow-up [16].

Technological advances in sensor development, data acquisition and computational modelling could enable real-time monitoring and optimization of biofield exposure. Machine learning approaches might identify optimal exposure parameters for individual patients based on their baseline characteristics and biomarker responses [29].

Integration with other longevity interventions represents another important direction. Synergistic effects might be achieved through combination of bioelectromagnetic field exposure with caloric restriction, exercise, pharmacological interventions or other established geroprotective approaches. The multifactorial character of aging, which is fueled by interrelated metabolic, inflammatory, mitochondrial and stress-response pathways that are difficult to be completely controlled by a single intervention, provides justification for such combinatorial techniques. Bioelectromagnetic exposure is thought to affect biophysical aspects of cellular architecture and energy management, whereas pharmacological geroprotectors, physical activity and calorie restriction mostly act through biochemical and genetic signaling networks. Therefore, combining interventions that target different but convergent levels of biological control may increase efficacy while permitting shorter treatment durations or intensities, thereby enhancing safety and translational viability.

Conclusion

Bioelectromagnetic field phenomena in biological systems are still poorly understood, but they may have implications for aging and regenerative medicine. Even though the molecular understanding is still lacking and the evidence is still preliminary, observed biological impacts across several model systems call for more research. Improved scientific rigor, standardized methodology, transparent reporting and independent replication will all be necessary for progress in this discipline. Bioelectromagnetic field-based therapies, if proven in well-controlled research, could provide a fresh, non-invasive technique for extending human health. As a result, this field of study needs to be addressed critically and with an open mind to revolutionary discoveries.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship and/or publication of this article.

Funding Statement

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial or non-profit sectors.

Acknowledgement

None

Data Availability Statement

Not applicable.

Ethical Statement

The project did not meet the definition of human subject research under the purview of the IRB according to federal regulations and therefore, was exempt.

Informed Consent Statement

Informed consent was taken for this study.

Authors' Contributions

All authors contributed equally to this paper.

References

1. United Nations. World population ageing 2019. New York (NY): Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. 2020.
2. Kennedy BK. Geroscience: Linking aging to chronic disease. Cell. 2014;159(4):709-3.
3. Costa FP. Emerging cancer therapies: targeting physiological networks and cellular bioelectrical differences with non-thermal systemic electromagnetic fields in the human body: A comprehensive review. Front Netw Physiol. 2024;4:1483401.
4. Zadeh-Haghighi H, Simon C. Magnetic field effects in biology from the perspective of the radical pair mechanism. J R Soc Interface.

2022;19(193):20220325.
5. Tota M. Cellular and molecular effects of magnetic fields. Int J Mol Sci. 2024;25(16):8973.
6. Strzelec M. Immunomodulation: A general review of the current state-of-the-art and new therapeutic strategies for targeting the immune system. Front Immunol. 2023;14:1127704.
7. Kopyl S. Magnetoelectric effect: Principles and applications in biology and medicine: A review. Mater Today Bio. 2021;12:100149.
8. López-Otín C. The hallmarks of aging. Cell. 2013;153(6):1194-217.
9. Volodyaev I, Beloussov LV. Revisiting the mitogenetic effect of ultra-weak photon emission. Front Physiol. 2015;6:241.
10. Popp FA. Some essential questions of biophoton research and probable answers. In: Recent advances in biophoton research and its applications. Singapore: World Scientific. 1992;1-46.
11. Cifra M, Pospíšil P. Ultra-weak photon emission from biological samples: Definition, mechanisms, properties, detection and applications. J Photochem Photobiol B. 2014;139:2-10.
12. Fels D. Cellular communication through light. PLoS One. 2009;4(4):e5086.
13. Kobayashi M. Highly sensitive imaging for ultra-weak photon emission from living organisms. J Photochem Photobiol B. 2014;139:34-8.
14. Komrakov EV. Experimental study of EKOM BIOTRONS, which provide a remote effect of some biological objects on others. Moscow. 2021.
15. Tian H. System-level biological effects of extremely low-frequency electromagnetic fields: An *in-vivo* experimental review. Front Neurosci. 2023;17:1247021.
16. Beloussov LV, Opitz J, Gilbert SF. Life of Alexander G. Gurwitsch and his relevant contribution to the theory of morphogenetic fields. Int J Dev Biol. 1997;41(6):771.
17. Guarente L. Sirtuins, aging and metabolism. In: Cold Spring Harbor symposia on quantitative biology. Cold Spring Harbor (NY): Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press. 2011.
18. Gurwitsch A. Die natur des spezifischen erregers der zellteilung. Arch Mikrosk Anat Entwicklungsmech. 1923;100(1-2):11-40.
19. Inaba H. Super-high sensitivity systems for detection and spectral analysis of ultraweak photon emission from biological cells and tissues. Experientia. 1988;44(7):550-59.
20. Pall ML. Electromagnetic fields act via activation of voltage-gated calcium channels to produce beneficial or adverse effects. J Cell Mol Med. 2013;17(8):958-65.
21. Karu TI. Multiple roles of cytochrome c oxidase in mammalian cells under action of red and IR-A radiation. IUBMB Life. 2010;62(8):607-10.
22. Poplová M, Prasad A. Investigation of BAL from human skin. In: Photocount statistics and spectral analysis of ultraweak photon emission from biological systems. 2024;95(40):69.
23. Kinsey LJ, Van Huizen AV, Beane WS. Weak magnetic fields modulate superoxide to control planarian regeneration. Front Phys. 2023;10:1086809.
24. Gerhards L. Weak radiofrequency field effects on biological systems mediated through the radical pair mechanism. Chem Rev. 2025;125(17):8051-88.
25. Fontana L, Partridge L, Longo VD. Extending healthy life span from yeast to humans. Science. 2010;328(5976):321-6.
26. Horvath S, Raj K. DNA methylation-based biomarkers and the epigenetic clock theory of ageing. Nat Rev Genet. 2018;19(6):371-84.
27. Goldman DP. Substantial health and economic returns from delayed aging may warrant a new focus for medical research. Health Aff (Millwood). 2013;32(10):1698-705.
28. Barnes FS, Greenebaum B. Handbook of biological effects of electromagnetic fields: biological and medical aspects. Boca Raton (FL): CRC Press. 2007.
29. Zhavoronkov A, Mamoshina P. Deep aging clocks: The emergence of AI-based biomarkers of aging and longevity. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 2019;40(8):546-9.

About the journal

Journal of Regenerative Medicine and Biology Research is an international, peer-reviewed, open-access journal published by Athenaeum Scientific Publishers. The journal publishes original research articles, case reports, editorials, reviews, and commentaries relevant to its scope. It aims to disseminate high-quality scholarly work that contributes to research, clinical practice, and academic knowledge in the field.

All submissions are evaluated through a structured peer-review process in accordance with established editorial and ethical standards. Manuscripts are submitted and processed through the journal's online submission system.

Manuscript submission: https://athenaeumpub.com/submit-manuscript/