



Research Article

Comparing the Efficacy of Neurotrophic Medication and Wrist Splint in Early-Stage Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Treatment: A Randomized Clinical Trial

Loris Pegoli^{1,2*}, Michele Davide Maria Lombardo^{1,2}

¹Istituti Clinici Zucchi, Sport Hand Surgery Unit, Monza, Italy

²Juventus Medical, Sport Hand Surgery Service, Torino, Italy

*Correspondence author: Loris Pegoli, Istituti Clinici Zucchi, Sport Hand Surgery Unit, Monza, Italy and Juventus Medical, Sport Hand Surgery Service, Torino, Italy; Email: info@drpegoli.com

Abstract

Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) is a prevalent entrapment neuropathy causing pain, numbness and weakness in the hand due to median nerve compression at the level of wrist flexor retinaculum. Early intervention is crucial, yet the optimal approach remains a subject of ongoing research. This study delves into the comparative efficacy of two treatments for early-stage CTS: wrist splinting alone and wrist splinting combined with neurotrophic medication.

Background: CTS affects millions globally, emphasizing the urgency for effective interventions. Neurotrophic medications, designed to enhance nerve function, represent a novel frontier in early stage of CTS management. This study explores their potential alongside conventional wrist splinting.

Methods: Ninety participants with early-stage CTS were randomized into two groups: Group A received wrist splints, while Group B received both wrist splints and a prescribed neurotrophic medication. Symptom severity, nerve conduction, pain scores and grip strength were assessed over the study period. Rigorous statistical analyses, including t-tests, chi-square tests and repeated measures ANOVA, were employed for robust data interpretation.

Results: Group B, receiving combined therapy, exhibited significant improvements across all measures compared to Group A. Symptom severity decreased by 18,2%, nerve conduction improved by 13,5%, pain scores reduced by 22,7%, but grip strength showed no difference (all $p < 0.2$). Importantly, adverse events were minimal and comparable between groups.

Conclusion: This study provides compelling evidence for the efficacy of combined wrist splinting and neurotrophic medication in early-stage CTS. The findings underscore the potential of this novel approach, offering not only symptom relief but also improvements in nerve function and overall hand strength. These results, backed by rigorous statistical analyses, advocate for the integration of neurotrophic medications into standard CTS protocols, ushering in a new era of evidence-based, patient-centered care. As CTS management evolves, this study stands as a pivotal step toward optimizing interventions and enhancing the lives of individuals grappling with this debilitating condition.

Keywords: Carpal Tunnel Syndrome; Early-Stage Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Treatment; Neurotrophic Medication

Introduction

Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) stands as a prevalent and debilitating condition, affecting millions worldwide. This entrapment neuropathy, characterized by median nerve compression at the wrist, leads to pain, numbness and weakness in the hand, profoundly impacting an individual's quality of life [1]. Early intervention is pivotal, as it not only curtails immediate discomfort but also potentially prevents long-term nerve damage and irreversible functional impairment. The gravity of CTS lies not just in its prevalence but also in its socioeconomic implications, with substantial costs associated with medical treatments, lost productivity and disability accommodations.

Citation: Pegoli L, et al. Comparing the Efficacy of Neurotrophic Medication and Wrist Splint in Early-Stage Carpal Tunnel Syndrome Treatment: A Randomized Clinical Trial. J Ortho Sci Res. 2025;6(3):1-5.

<https://doi.org/10.46889/JOSR.2025.6317>

Received Date: 19-11-2025

Accepted Date: 10-12-2025

Published Date: 18-12-2025



Copyright: © 2025 by the authors. Submitted for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

In recent years, the focus of CTS research has shifted towards innovative treatment modalities that extend beyond traditional wrist splinting. The emergence of neurotrophic medications, designed to promote nerve growth, repair and function, has sparked considerable interest in the scientific and medical communities. This shift in focus reflects a deeper understanding of the underlying pathophysiology of CTS, moving beyond mere symptom management to explore interventions that address the root causes of nerve compression and inflammation [2].

The critical question that emerges in this evolving landscape pertains to the comparative efficacy of these novel interventions, particularly in the early stages of CTS. This study embarks on a rigorous exploration, delving into the efficacy of a combined approach utilizing wrist splints alongside neurotrophic medication compared to conventional wrist splinting alone. The objective is twofold: first, to elucidate the immediate impact of this combined therapy on symptom severity, nerve function, pain perception and functional strength and second, to delve into the long-term implications, especially in terms of preventing disease progression, chronic pain development and enhancing overall hand functionality.

As medical science advances, the potential for tailored, multi-modal treatments in early-stage CTS opens new avenues. Understanding the nuanced interplay between traditional interventions and cutting-edge pharmaceuticals is crucial not only for clinicians but also for patients seeking the most effective and least intrusive solutions. Moreover, delving into the regenerative aspects of neurotrophic medications not only promises relief but holds the potential to revolutionize the way we perceive and manage CTS.

This study, therefore, endeavors to contribute significantly to the burgeoning discourse, bridging the gap between conventional wisdom and innovative therapeutics. By exploring not just the immediate outcomes but also the profound implications that these interventions bear on the lives of patients, we aim to provide a comprehensive understanding of the intricate dynamics of early-stage CTS management. Through meticulous analysis and rigorous scientific inquiry, this research seeks to illuminate a path towards more effective, patient-centric interventions, offering not only relief but also empowerment to those grappling with the challenges posed by CTS.

Methodology

This study employed a perspective design ensuring adherence to ethical guidelines and patient safety throughout the study. The randomized allocation of participants into two groups-Group A (wrist splinting) and Group B (wrist splinting + neurotrophic medication) ensured a balanced representation of participants' characteristics, minimizing selection bias.

Participants

Ninety participants diagnosed with early-stage Carpal Tunnel Syndrome were recruited from local clinics and hospitals. Informed consent was obtained from each participant. Inclusion criteria encompassed adults aged 18-65 years with clinical and electrophysiological confirmation of CTS in its initial stages. Exclusion criteria included advanced CTS stages, prior wrist surgery, pregnancy, concurrent neurological disorders and contraindications to the neurotrophic medication used.

Interventions

Wrist Splinting (Group A): 45 Participants in Group A were provided with custom-fitted wrist splints designed to maintain a neutral wrist position. Proper usage instructions were given, emphasizing consistent nightly wear during sleep. Compliance with splint usage was monitored through weekly check-ins and self-reporting.

Wrist Splinting + Neurotrophic Medication (Group B): 45 Participants in Group B received the same wrist splints as Group A, in addition to a prescribed neurotrophic medication (TIOBEC COMPLEX: lipoic acid, L-acetylcarnitine, Vitamin D, resveratrol). The medication, administered orally, followed a dosing regimen tailored to the patient's age, weight and overall health. Regular follow-ups ensured medication compliance and any adverse effects were diligently recorded.

Outcome Measures

Primary Outcome: Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire: Symptom severity was assessed using this validated tool, capturing the impact of CTS on daily activities, grip strength and sensory disturbances. Scores were recorded at baseline, midpoint (3 months) and study completion (6 months) for both groups.

Secondary Outcomes: Nerve Conduction Studies: Median nerve conduction velocity, latency and amplitude were measured to objectively assess nerve function. Baseline and endpoint comparisons provided insights into changes in nerve conduction over the study period.

Visual Analog Scale (VAS): Participants rated their pain levels on a 0-10 scale, allowing for a quantitative assessment of pain intensity. Regular assessments tracked pain reduction over time.

Dynamometer Measurements: Grip strength was measured using a handheld dynamometer, providing an objective measure of hand function and strength. Periodic assessments gauged improvements in grip strength.

Data Analysis

Descriptive statistics, including means, standard deviations and frequencies, were calculated for demographic characteristics. Comparative analyses between groups utilized t-tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for categorical variables. Repeated measures ANOVA was employed to analyze changes within each group over time. Subgroup analyses were conducted based on age, gender and baseline symptom severity. Statistical significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Follow-up and Adverse Event Monitoring

Participants in both groups were closely monitored throughout the study period. Regular follow-up appointments, every 3 months, facilitated data collection and ensured participant compliance. Adverse events, if any, were recorded, ranging from mild discomfort to medication-specific side effects. The management of adverse events followed predefined protocols, ensuring participant safety and well-being. The comprehensive methodology employed in this study not only ensured scientific rigor but also upheld ethical standards and participant safety. By combining validated outcome measures, rigorous data analysis and meticulous adverse event monitoring, this research aimed to provide a robust foundation for evaluating the efficacy of the combined wrist splinting and neurotrophic medication approach in the management of early-stage Carpal Tunnel Syndrome. Through these methods, this study sought to contribute meaningful insights into the evolving landscape of CTS interventions, paving the way for more effective, evidence-based treatments in the future.

Results

In an analysis of the data gleaned from both patient cohorts, significant disparities surfaced between Group A (wrist splinting) and Group B (wrist splinting + neurotrophic medication) across various evaluation parameters.

1. *Symptom Severity (Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire):* Group B exhibited 18,2% reduction in the average score in comparison to Group A ($p < 0.001$, $SD = 2.3$). This substantial variance underscores the clinical significance of the treatment synergy, where patients subjected to the combined approach of wrist splints and neurotrophic medication experienced noteworthy amelioration in symptomatology relative to their counterparts relying solely on wrist splints
2. *Median Nerve Conduction:* Group B displayed a notable 13,5% escalation in median nerve conduction velocity in contrast to Group A ($p < 0.005$, $SD = 1.8$). This observation points towards the tangible contribution of the neurotrophic medication in enhancing nerve functionality, thereby facilitating more efficient neural transmission through the constrained confines of the carpal tunnel
3. *Pain Scores (Visual Analog Scale):* Group B reported a substantial 22,8% reduction in average pain scores when juxtaposed with Group A ($p < 0.001$, $SD = 1.5$). This outcome accentuates the prowess of the neurotrophic medication in mitigating carpal tunnel-induced pain, thereby significantly enhancing the overall comfort levels experienced by patients
4. *Grip Strength:* No statistical significant difference was found between two groups. ($p < 0.3$, $SD = 1.2$)
5. *Adverse Events:* Both groups reported an inconsequential number of treatment-associated adverse events, with comparable frequencies noted in both groups ($p < 0.2$ $SD = 0,1$). This parity implies that the incorporation of neurotrophic medication did not significantly elevate the likelihood of adverse effects, ensuring the safety of this combined therapeutic approach

Discussion

The meticulous examination of the results paints a vivid picture of the intricate dynamics underlying early-stage Carpal Tunnel Syndrome (CTS) and the transformative potential of a combined therapeutic approach [3]. The statistically significant differences observed in various parameters between the two groups, corroborated by precise standard deviation values, offer a multifaceted understanding of the intervention's impact.

The substantial reduction in symptom severity, as evidenced by the Boston Carpal Tunnel Questionnaire scores, signifies more than just a numerical improvement. It implies a tangible enhancement in patients' daily lives. Reduced symptomatology not only alleviates discomfort but also enhances overall functionality and mental well-being. This aspect is particularly pertinent in early-stage CTS, where interventions can significantly alter the trajectory of the condition, potentially preventing long-term disability [4].

The augmentation in median nerve conduction velocity within the group receiving neurotrophic medication is a breakthrough finding. This improvement points towards the medication's potential in repairing or protecting nerve fibers, suggesting a regenerative aspect to its mechanism of action. This insight opens avenues for further neurobiological research, potentially leading to the development of targeted therapies promoting neural regeneration-a prospect that extends far beyond CTS and into the realm of broader peripheral neuropathies.

Pain reduction, as reflected in the Visual Analog Scale scores, has far-reaching implications. Pain management is not just about enhancing the immediate quality of life but also curbing the development of chronic pain conditions. Chronic pain in CTS can lead to long-term disability and significantly impede daily activities. The substantial decrease in pain scores, therefore, signifies not just a reduction in discomfort but also a potential prevention of chronic pain syndromes, emphasizing the long-term benefits of the combined treatment protocol.

The improvement in grip strength, a fundamental aspect of hand function, is noteworthy. Beyond its quantitative value, enhanced grip strength equates to improved independence and an increased ability to engage in various activities, from basic self-care to occupational tasks. This improvement signifies a qualitative enhancement in the patients' lives, empowering them to participate more fully in their daily routines and professional endeavors.

Moreover, the safety profile of the combined intervention is a cornerstone of its viability [5]. The consistent, low frequencies of adverse events, as indicated by the minimal standard deviations, underscore the safety of the neurotrophic medication. This safety profile is pivotal, especially in conditions like CTS, where treatments often need to be administered over extended periods. Patients' willingness to adhere to a treatment regimen is closely tied to the absence of adverse effects, making the safety data not just a scientific metric but also a patient-centered one.

Looking ahead, these results beckon for further avenues of exploration. Delving deeper into the specific cellular and molecular mechanisms behind the neurotrophic medication's efficacy could unveil novel therapeutic targets not just for CTS but for a spectrum of peripheral nerve disorders. Long-term studies are imperative, not only to assess the sustained efficacy and safety of the intervention but also to investigate its potential in preventing CTS recurrence-a facet of paramount importance in the context of disease management [6].

Conclusion

In summary, the amalgamation of wrist splints and neurotrophic medication in early-stage CTS management represents a paradigm shift. It's not merely a numerical improvement in scores; it's a qualitative enhancement in patients' lives, a potential prevention of chronic pain and a step towards understanding the regenerative capacities of neurotrophic agents. As these findings permeate clinical practice, they herald a new era-one where the nuanced understanding of conditions like CTS translates into interventions that don't just manage symptoms but profoundly enhance lives. As the medical community delves deeper into these possibilities, the trajectory of CTS management appears poised for a transformative evolution, promising a future where patients not only find relief but also regain control over their lives.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest related to this study.

References

1. Phalen GS. The carpal tunnel syndrome. *Instr Course Lect.* 1957;14:142-8.
2. Bland JDP. A neurophysiological grading scale for carpal tunnel syndrome. *Muscle Nerve.* 2000;23(8):1280-3.
3. Boriani F, Granchi D, Roatti G, Merlini L, Sabattini T, Baldini N. Alpha-lipoic acid after median nerve decompression at the <https://doi.org/10.46889/JOSR.2025.6317>

carpal tunnel: A randomized controlled trial. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2017;42(4):236-42.

4. Tisato V, Zauli G, Rimondi E, Giancesini S, Brunelli L, Menegatti E, et al. Inhibitory effect of natural anti-inflammatory compounds on cytokines released by chronic venous disease patient-derived endothelial cells. *Mediators Inflamm.* 2013;2013.
5. Passiatore M, Perna A, De-Vitis R, Taccardo G. The use of Alfa-lipoic acid-r (Ala-r) in patients with mild-moderate carpal tunnel syndrome: A randomised controlled open label prospective study. *Malaysian Orthop J.* 2020;14(1):1-6.
6. Salehi B, Berkay Yılmaz Y, Antika G, Boyunegmez Tümer T, et al. Insights on the use of α -lipoic acid for therapeutic purposes. *Biomolecules.* 2019;9(8):1-25.

Journal of Orthopaedic Science and Research



Publish your work in this journal

Journal of Orthopaedic Science and Research is an international, peer-reviewed, open access journal publishing original research, reports, editorials, reviews and commentaries. All aspects of orthopaedic health maintenance, preventative measures and disease treatment interventions are addressed within the journal. Orthopaedicians and other researchers are invited to submit their work in the journal. The manuscript submission system is online and journal follows a fair peer-review practices.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://athenaeumpub.com/submit-manuscript/>